

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/820,865	KORALL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel A. Nolan	2654	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel A. Nolan.

(2) Wm. Mandir (202)293-7060.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) Ms Natalia Dvorson.

(4) Ms Ruthleen Uy.

Time: 2:30 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Election/restriction of claims 30-38 appropriate in view of altered emphasis on input mode of claims 1-29 and 39-46 distinct from geography map of claims 30-38; Applicant will confirm with client by Tuesday, September 28.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)